

PO Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
Office: (803) 750-1200 Ext. *6550
Fax: (866) 473-1272

www.scvanlegal.org

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

--
Sarah A. Ford (she/her)
Legal Director
South Carolina Victim Assistance Network
PO Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
Office: (803) 750-1200 Ext. *6550
Fax: (866) 473-1272
www.scvanlegal.org



SCVAN
Legal Services
Program

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

www.sled.sc.gov

**COURTESY OF
LUNA SHARK MEDIA**

www.sled.sc.gov

The South Carolina Supreme Court has acknowledged

The duties of public officials are generally classified as ministerial and discretionary (or quasi-judicial). The duty is ministerial when it is absolute, certain, and imperative, involving merely the execution of a specific duty arising from fixed and designated facts. **It is ministerial if it is defined by law with such precision as to leave nothing to the exercise of discretion. In contrast, a quasi-judicial duty requires the exercise of reason in the adaptation of means to an end, and discretion in determining how or whether the act shall be done or the course pursued.**

Redmond v. Lexington Cty. Sch. Dist. No. Four, 314 S.C. 431, 437–38, 445 S.E.2d 441, 445 (1994) (emphasis added). The decision by a sworn law enforcement officer to effectuate the arrest of an individual, particularly an arrest for a matter not personally witnessed by the officer, is a discretionary decision that simply cannot be considered a ministerial duty or ministerial act. *See Godwin v. Carrigan*, 227 S.C. 216, 87 S.E.2d 471 (1955) (ministerial duty is one which a person performs in obedience to a mandate of legal authority without regard to the exercise of his own judgment upon the propriety of the act to be done). It is axiomatic that every law enforcement officer must exercise his or her own judgment and discretion prior to seizing and detaining an individual. In our constitutional system of justice, the arrest of an individual implicates numerous state and federal constitutional protections and implicates numerous corresponding constitutional and legal liabilities for the officer. *See generally* U.S. Const. amends. IV, V, VI, VIII, XIV; S.C. Const. Art. I, §3, §10, §11, §12, §14, §15. As such, this decision absolutely and necessarily implicates an officer’s judgment and “requires the exercise of reason in the adaptation of means to an end and discretion in determining how or whether the act shall be done.” *Redmond v. Lexington Cty. Sch. Dist. No. Four*, 314 S.C. 431, 438, 445 S.E.2d 441, 445 (1994); *Godwin v. Carrigan*, 227 S.C. 216, 87 S.E.2d 471 (1955). As the petition is completely devoid of any cognizable argument that the duty involved is a ministerial duty and because an arrest is not, the petition must fail.

The Supreme Court has also held that when the “performance of the duty rests in discretion, or when there is another adequately remedy, a writ of mandamus cannot rightfully be issued.” *In the Interest of Lyde*, 284 S.C. 419, 327 S.E.2d 70 (1985). In this case, upon discovering the Defendant’s bond violations, Special Agent McCallister properly exercised her discretion and properly coordinated with the Second Circuit Solicitor’s Office on the appropriate legal mechanism and course to address these violations. There is no legitimate argument that Special Agent McCallister, or any law enforcement officer in the State of South Carolina, was under a mandatory duty to immediately arrest the Defendant upon the discovery of the bond violations. The 2019 Order Granting Bond in this matter irrefutably acknowledges this fact with the specific use of the word “authorized” as opposed to “mandated”, “ordered”, or any other legal term demanding action. Put simply, Special Agent McCallister was not ordered to immediately arrest the Defendant and had the autonomous discretion to pursue the course of action that she pursued, which SLED asserts was the proper course of action in this matter.

Similarly, on March 25, 2022, Second Judicial Circuit Deputy Solicitor David Miller exercised the discretion of the State of South Carolina by filing a 51-page Motion to Revoke Bond to seek appropriate judicial redress for the Defendant’s bond violations. These are the same violations for which this petition seeks a remedy. The very existence of this motion filed by the State of South Carolina conclusively demonstrates the availability and the adequacy of another remedy in this matter. As such, this petition must fail. *See City of Rock Hill v. Thompson*, 349 S.C. 197, 563 S.E.2d 101 (2002); *In the Interest of Lyde*, 284 S.C. 419, 327 S.E.2d 70 (1985). It is simply beyond comprehension that the pending bond revocation motion, which was set for a hearing prior to the filing of this petition, is not an adequate remedy to address this bond violation. As such, this petition must fail.

This petition also fails to comply with the basic mandatory requirements for a writ of mandamus that are established in Rule 65 of the South Carolina Rules of Procedure, which are made applicable to this action by Rule 37 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure. Rule 65(f)(1) specifically mandates that a request for a writ “shall be supported by affidavit or verified complaint setting forth clearly the facts entitling the moving party to such writ”. Rule 65, SCRPC. There is no sworn affidavit or verified complaint attached to this petition. As such, this petition does not comply with the mandatory requirements for a writ of mandamus established by the applicable South Carolina rules of procedure and must fail.

The writ of mandamus sought in this petition simply cannot be issued in accordance with South Carolina law. *See City of Rock Hill v. Thompson*, 349 S.C. 197, 563 S.E.2d 101 (2002); *In the Interest of Lyde*, 284 S.C. 419, 327 S.E.2d 70 (1985). Therefore, for the foregoing reasons and for all others that will be set forth at any hearing held on this matter, SLED asks that this Court deny any and all relief sought in this petition. Further, SLED asks that this Court award such other and further relief that the Court deems appropriate in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

s/Adam L. Whitsett
Adam L. Whitsett, Esquire
General Counsel
South Carolina Law Enforcement Division
Post Office Box 21398
Columbia, South Carolina 29221-1398
Phone: (803) 896-0647
Fax: (803) 896-7588
Email: awhitsett@sled.sc.gov
S.C. Bar Number: 74888

**ATTORNEY FOR SLED AND
SLED SPECIAL AGENT
MARY KATHRYN MCCALLISTER**

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
APRIL 7, 2022

**Fwd: Notice of Appeal from A Sentence Imposed by the Court of General Sessions/
Notice of Request for Appellate Review and Proof of Service**

Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>

Thu, Nov 9, 2023 at 1:43 PM

To: Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>, Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cassie Green** <cassie@scvan.org>

Date: Mon, Apr 18, 2022 at 4:49 PM

Subject: Notice of Appeal from A Sentence Imposed by the Court of General Sessions/ Notice of Request for Appellate Review and Proof of Service

To: <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>, <DMiller@aikencountysc.gov>, <cbhutto@williamsattys.com>

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>

Good Afternoon All--

Please see attached *Notice of Appeal from A Sentence Imposed by the Court of General Sessions/ Notice of Request for Appellate Review and Proof of Service*, filed today in the South Carolina Court of Appeals and Orangeburg County Court of General Sessions in ***The State vs. Bowen Gray Turner, 2019A3810200093.***

Best regards,

Caspian Green (she/her/hers)

Victim Access Coordinator

South Carolina Victim Assistance Network

PO Box 212863

Columbia, SC 29221

Office: (803) 750-1200/ [REDACTED]

Fax: (866) 473-1272

www.scvanlegal.org

SCVAN
Legal Services
Program

--

Sarah A. Ford

Legal Director



PO Box 212863, Columbia, SC 29221



www.scvanlegal.org



SOUTH CAROLINA
VICTIM ASSISTANCE NETWORK

Legal Services Program

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

2 attachments



2022-04-18 16-33 Notice of Appeal.pdf
1745K



2022-04-18 16-34 Proof of Service of a Notice of Appeal.pdf
571K

COURTESY OF
LUNA SHARK MEDIA

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM A SENTENCE IMPOSED BY THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS/
NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR APPELLATE REVIEW

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

R. Markley Dennis, Jr., General Sessions Judge

Case No. 2019A3810200093

The State of South Carolina and
Bowen Gray Turner,

Respondents,

v.

Victim C.B.,

Appellant.

NOTICE OF APPEAL/NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR APPELLATE REVIEW

Victim C.B. appeals and seeks appellate review of the Trial Court's denial of the Victim's Constitutional Right to be heard as set forth in the S.C. Victims' Bill of Rights. The Victim's rights were violated when the Court refused to allow her, the Victim, to argue her three motions: the Victim's Petition for Rule to Show Cause Motion; the Motion to Enforce Victims' Rights and to Be Heard Prior to Guilty Plea; and her Motion for Writ of Mandamus. The Court found that the motions and Rule were filed on behalf of the State, however Victim's counsel clarified that

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
Winnifred B. Clark
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC
Page 290 of 402

FILED FOR RECORD
WINNIFRED B. CLARK
2021 10 13 PM 4: 39
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG, SC

the filings were filed on behalf of the Victim and by the Victim's counsel. The Court found that the motions were untimely filed and served, although there is no known rule addressing the time requirements for said motions. Rule 4, SRCrimP. provides that a motion may be made in open Court or in writing. These motions were filed on behalf of C.B. under The State vs. Bowen Gray Turner, 2019A3810200093, in exercise of her constitutional rights as a Victim in the matter.

These three motions were presented at Respondent's guilty plea hearing but were disallowed by the Court:

1. The Trial Court refused to sign the Rule and allow the Victim's Petition for Rule to Show Cause against the Illery Bonding Company to be entered on the Court docket. This Petition arose out of the bondsman's failure to comply with the August 5, 2019 Order of Judge George M. McFaddin, Jr. conditioning bond on strict adherence to home confinement conditions. The order provided that:

"ANY AND ALL violations of the conditions of HOME DETENTION shall be reported to the Second Circuit Solicitor's Office or the Orangeburg County Sheriff's Office within 24 hours of the violation. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS WILL SUBJECT THE ELECTRONIC MONITORING COMPANY TO POTENTIAL CRIMINAL AND CIVIL SANCTIONS FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT." (All caps portions are true to the Order and were not added for emphasis).

The bonding company received the GPS data and had notice that the Defendant was flagrantly ignoring the home confinement conditions of the bond (allowing more than fifty violations in a four month period) but allegedly failed to notify the Solicitor or the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division as ordered by Judge McFadden. The failure of the bonding company endangered the Victim and society.

2. The Court refused to consider the Motion to Enforce Victims' Rights as set out in the South Carolina Constitution. The rights at issue include the Victim's right to be heard and to

have her statement meaningfully considered prior to the Court's consideration of Defendant's proposed guilty plea.

3. Victim filed the Petition for Writ of Mandamus to address the failure of the Solicitor and Law Enforcement to place Respondent Turner into custody following his numerous bond violations and non-compliance with the August 5, 2019 Order of Judge George M. McFadden.

Under Rule 201(a) SCACR, an appeal may be taken "from any final judgment, appealable order or decision." A criminal defendant may not usually appeal until a sentence has been imposed. The State v. Looper, 807 S.E.2d 203(S.C. 2017). The entering of the guilty plea in this case is a final judgment from which an appeal is warranted. Alternatively, Victim seeks the issuance of a writ of mandamus to require compliance with and enforcement of the Victim's rights, pursuant to S.C. Const. art. I, § 24(A)(3).

Victim's Counsel moved to be heard on these Motions and Rule prior to sentencing. The request to be heard was not considered by the Court and was denied. Victim C.B. appeals and seeks appellate review.

Respectfully submitted,

S.C. VICTIM ASSISTANCE NETWORK



Sarah A. Ford, Bar #77029
Attorney for Victim
S.C. Victim Assistance Network
P.O. Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
(803) 509-6550

s/ Tamika D. Cannon

April 18, 2022

Tamika D. Cannon, Bar #72834
Attorney for Victim
S.C. Victim Assistance Network
P.O. Box 170364
Spartanburg, SC 29301
(864) 312-6455

s/ Terri Bailey
Terri Bailey, Bar #4539
Attorney for Victim
S.C. Victim Assistance Network
P.O. Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
(803) 605-0473

Other Counsel of Record:
David Miller
Deputy Solicitor
109 Park Avenue SE
P.O. Drawer 3368
Aiken, SC 29802
DMiller@aikencountysc.gov

C. Bradley Hutto
Attorney for Respondent
1281 Russell Street
P.O. Box 1084
Orangeburg, SC 29115
(803) 534-5218
cbhutto@williamsattys.com

LUNA SHARK MEDIA

**PROOF OF SERVICE OF A NOTICE OF APPEAL/
NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR APPELLATE REVIEW**

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM ORANGEBURG COUNTY
Court of General Sessions

R. Markley Dennis, Jr., General Sessions Judge

Case No. 2019A3810200093

The State of South Carolina and
Bowen Gray Turner,

Respondents,

v.

Victim C.B.,


Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the Notice of Appeal From A Sentence Imposed By The Court of General Sessions/Notice of Request for Appellate Review by emailing a copy of it on April 18, 2022, to the South Carolina Court of Appeals at ctappfilings@sccourts.org; to Deputy Solicitor for Aiken County, David Miller at DMiller@aikencountysc.gov; and by emailing a copy of it on April 18, 2022, to Respondent Bowen Gray Turner's attorney of record, Bradley Hutto at cbhutto@williamsattys.com. The Notice was personally delivered to the Clerk of the General Sessions Court of Orangeburg County at 1406 Amelia Street Orangeburg, SC 29115, on April 18, 2022.




Caspian Green
Victim Access Coordinator

ATTEST: TRUE COPY

CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

FILED FOR RECORD
Winnina B. Clark
2022 APR 18 PM 4:30
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG, SC

South Carolina Victim Assistance Network
P.O. Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
(843) 929-4000



Sarah A. Ford, Bar #77029
Attorney for Victim
S.C. Victim Assistance Network
P.O. Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
(803) 509-6550

**COURTESY OF
LUNA SHARK MEDIA**

State v. Bowen Turner -Request to Modify Permanent Restraining Order

1 message

Nicole McCune <nmcune@scvan.org>

Fri, Apr 22, 2022 at 1:25

To: mdennisj@sccourts.org, DMiller@aikencountysc.gov, cbhutto@williamsattys.com

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>, Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>, vmware@williamsattys.com

Judge Dennis,

The Victims of State v. Bowen Turner are requesting a modification of their Permanent Restraining Order, due to contact by the Defendant's family on his behalf.

The Request to Modify Permanent Restraining Order and Summons are attached.

Kind regards,

Nicole McCune
SCVAN Paralegal and Victim Advocate

Nicole McCune, Paralegal/ Victim Advocate

www.scvanlegal.org



Direct Phone: (803) 977-0742
nmcune@scvan.org
P.O. Box 212863, Columbia, SC 29221

Please check out our NEW website at:
www.scvanlegal.org

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

2022-04-21 17-16 (1) (2).pdf
3600K

COURTESY OF LUNA SHARI MEDIA

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)
)
State of South Carolina,)
)
vs.)
)
BOWEN GRAY TURNER,)
)
Defendant.)
)
On behalf of Victims (Petitioners))

IN THE GENERAL SESSIONS COURT
FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

**REQUEST TO MODIFY
PERMANENT
RESTRAINING ORDER**

CASE NO:
2019A3810200093

FILED FOR RECORD
WINNIE B. CLARK
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC
MAY 10 2025

To: Bowen Turner, Walter Turner, Jennifer Turner

COMES NOW, the Victims of this case, by and through their attorney, Sarah A. Ford of the South Carolina Victim Assistance Network, would respectfully request that the Court modify the terms of the Permanent Restraining Order granted in the above-referenced case.

1. The case is properly under the jurisdiction of this Court, as the Order was issued on April 8, 2022, at the time the Defendant was convicted in Orangeburg General Sessions, in compliance with SC Code Sec 16-3-1910(B)(1).

2. The Victims in this case were identified on the Permanent Restraining Order as follows: [REDACTED], and family; Chloe Bess, Carol Bess, Darren Bess, and family; Karlee Stoller, Michelle Stoller, Karl Stoller, and family.

3. A copy of the Permanent Restraining Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference. The Order states that: "The Defendant is restrained, prohibited and forbidden from communicating or attempting to communicate with the Victims or members

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
Winnina B Clark
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

of the Victims' family in any way that would violate Section 16-3-1910." In the past week, the Victims have been contacted by two persons on behalf of the Defendant. The Victims are requesting a modification of the Permanent Restraining Order to include Walter Turner, Jennifer Turner, and their family, so that they also are forbidden from communicating or attempting to communicate with victims or their families.

4. Ms. Michelle Stoller, a named victim, was contacted by an unknown number in the evening of April 13, 2022 (see Exhibit 2, attached screenshots). When she answered, a male voice she did not recognize stated that they were reaching out to her on behalf of Bowen Turner. The individual apologized on Bowen Turner's behalf and indicated that he has a bright future that is being dampened by the Stoller family sharing their story with the media. Ms. Stoller expressed that her family was sharing the story of her late daughter, Dallas, and that Dallas' legacy was their focus.

5. On the morning of April 16, 2022, Mr. Karl Stoller, a named victim, received two calls that Caller ID labeled from Jennifer Turner. Mr. Stoller did not answer either call. Given that the contact was made a week after the issuance of the Permanent Restraining Order, Mr. Stoller believes that this was the Defendant's mother reaching out on the Defendant's behalf.

6. WHEREFORE, the Petitioner prays that the Court:


- a. Set a hearing pursuant to SC Code Section 16-3-1910 (M)(2), that the terms of the Permanent Restraining Order may be modified to specify that the Defendant, Walter Turner, Jennifer Turner, and family are forbidden from communicating or attempting to communicate with the victims and their families directly or indirectly

b. , AND

c. Provide other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SC VICTIM ASSISTANCE NETWORK



Sarah A. Ford (Bar No. 77029)

Attorney for Victims

Post Office Box 212863

Columbia, SC 29221

(803) 509-6550

sarah@scvan.org

April 21, 2022

Orangeburg, South Carolina

COURTESY
LUNA SHARK MEDIA

The Court held a hearing on April 8, 2022, at which time the Defendant entered into a Guilty Plea. The Court heard from the State, the Defense, and Counsel for the Victims, and determined that Permanent Restraining Orders would be granted for three families affected by the Defendant's criminal acts, as listed above.

The Court makes the following finding of fact: (Check all that apply)


- 1. The hearing took place in ORANGEBURG County, SC.
- 2. The Defendant lives in ORANGEBURG County, SC.
- 3. The Defendant:
 - was convicted of a criminal offense (as defined in SC Code Ann. § 16-3-1900(3)) for which the victim was the subject of the crime.
 - was convicted of a criminal offense (as defined in SC Code Ann. § 16-3-1900(3)) for which the witness assisted the prosecuting entity/agency.
- 4. The qualifying conviction was: CSC 1st pled to Assault and Battery 1st.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT (Check all that apply):

- A. The Defendant is restrained, prohibited and forbidden from abusing, threatening to abuse, or molesting the Victims or members of Victims' families.
- B. The Defendant is restrained, prohibited and forbidden from entering or attempting to enter the Complainant's place of residence, employment, or education.
- C. The Defendant is restrained, prohibited and forbidden from communicating or attempting to communicate with the Complainant or members of the Complainant's family in any way that would violate Section 16-3-1910.
- D. A copy of this Order shall be served on the following law enforcement agencies: SLED, Orangeburg County Sheriff's Office, and DPPP

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Entered at 1:15-3 AM on April 8, 2022.





Judge

VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS A FELONY CRIMINAL OFFENSE PUNISHABLE BY UP TO FIVE YEARS IN PRISON.

TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS:

Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. § 16-3-1910, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the terms of this Order are enforceable throughout this State. Law enforcement officers shall arrest a respondent who acts in violation of this Order after service and notice of the Order have been provided. A respondent who is in violation of a permanent restraining order is guilty of a felony, if the underlying conviction that was the basis for the permanent restraining order was a felony and, upon conviction, must be imprisoned not more than five years. If the underlying conviction that was the basis for the permanent restraining order was a misdemeanor, a respondent who is in violation of an permanent restraining order is guilty of a misdemeanor and, upon conviction, must be fined not more than two thousand dollars or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

Exhibit 2 (Screenshots of calls by Unknown Caller and Defendant's mother)



FILED FOR RECORD
 WINNIE B. CLARK
 2022 APR 21 PM 3:35
 CLERK OF COURT
 ORANGEBURG, SC

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
Winnija B. Clark
 CLERK OF COURT
 ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)

VERIFICATION
Case No. 2019A3810200093

Karl M. Stoller, being duly sworn, states that they are a Victim herein, and have read the foregoing Request to Modify a Permanent Restraining Order and know the contents thereof, that the same is true of their own knowledge, except as matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief; and to those matters they believe them to be true.

[Signature]
Signature of Petitioner

SWORN to and Subscribed before me

This 20th day of April, 2022

[Signature]
Notary Public of South Carolina

My Commission expires: 5-7-2022

Nicole McCune
NOTARY PUBLIC SOUTH CAROLINA
My Commission Expires 5/7/22

FILED FOR RECORD
VANESSA B. CLARK
2022 APR 21 PM 3:35
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG, SC

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
[Signature]
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)

VERIFICATION
Case No. 2019A3810200093

Michelle Stoller, being duly sworn, states that they are a Victim herein, and have read the foregoing Request to Modify a Permanent Restraining Order and know the contents thereof, that the same is true of their own knowledge, except as matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief; and to those matters they believe them to be true.



Signature of Petitioner

SWORN to and Subscribed before me

This 21st day of April, 2022


Notary Public of South Carolina

My Commission expires: 5-7-2022

Nicole McCune
NOTARY PUBLIC SOUTH CAROLINA
My Commission Expires 5/7/22

CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG, SC

2022 APR 21 PM 3:35

FILED FOR RECORD
KIMBERLY S. CLARK

ATTEST: TRUE COPY
Winnaja B. Clark
CLERK OF COURT
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

IN THE GENERAL SESSIONS COURT
FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)

State of South Carolina,)

**SUMMONS
AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

vs.)

BOWEN GRAY TURNER,)

CASE NO:
2019A3810200093

Defendant.)

To: Bowen Turner

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and a hearing has been set in the above entitled action on _____ at _____ In Orangeburg, South Carolina. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED to be present in the Orangeburg County Courthouse located at _____ at the above stated hearing at that time. The Petitioners have requested that the Court modify the terms of a Permanent Restraining Order issued under this case number.

Date: _____

General Sessions Court Judge/Clerk

Orangeburg, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG)
)
State of South Carolina,)
)
vs.)
)
BOWEN GRAY TURNER,)
)
Defendant.)

IN THE GENERAL SESSIONS COURT
FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

**SUMMONS
AND NOTICE OF HEARING**

CASE NO:
2019A3810200093

To: Walter and Jennifer Turner

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and a hearing has been set in the above entitled action on _____ at _____ In Orangeburg, South Carolina. YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED to be present in the Orangeburg County Courthouse located at _____ at the above stated hearing at that time. The Petitioners have requested that the Court modify the terms of a Permanent Restraining Order issued under this case number.

Date: _____
Orangeburg, South Carolina

General Sessions Court Judge/Clerk

Request for Return of Victim's Property

3 messages

Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>

Mon, Apr 25, 2022 at 4:54 PM

To: DMiller@aikencountysc.gov, "Walker, Miriam D" <mdwalker@sled.sc.gov>, mmccallister@sled.sc.gov, sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>, Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

To Whom it May Concern:

On behalf of Dallas Stoller, a deceased victim, the South Carolina Victim Assistance Network would request the return of the victim's property to her parents, Karl and Michelle Stoller, as the charges relating to her in Case No. 2019A3810200093 have been dismissed.

The parents are requesting the return of the following named items, and any other items that may have been collected of which they are unaware:

- Victim's cell phone
- Victim's clothes
- Rape Kit

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have questions or concerns about the information contained in this letter. You can reach our Legal Director, Sarah Ford, by phone at 803-509-6550 or by email at sarah@scvan.org.

--

Rebekah Hiatt

Bilingual Staff Attorney/ Legal Technology Director

www.scvanlegal.org

Direct Phone: (803) 542-1312

P.O. Box 212863, Columbia, SC 29221



NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

McCallister, Mary <mmccallister@sled.sc.gov>

Mon, Apr 25, 2022 at 5:05 PM

To: Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>, DavidMiller <dmliller@aikencountysc.gov>, "Walker, Miriam D" <mdwalker@sled.sc.gov>, "sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov" <sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov>

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>, Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

This request needs to be forwarded to SLED's Office of General Counsel.

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>

Sent: Monday, April 25, 2022 4:54:01 PM

To: DavidMiller <dmiller@aikencountysc.gov>; Walker, Miriam D <mdwalker@sled.sc.gov>; McCallister, Mary <mmccallister@sled.sc.gov>; sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov <sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov>

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>; Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request for Return of Victim's Property

You don't often get email from rebekah@scvan.org. [Learn why this is important](#)

EXTERNAL EMAIL Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

[Quoted text hidden]

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>

To: "McCallister, Mary" <mmccallister@sled.sc.gov>

Cc: DavidMiller <dmiller@aikencountysc.gov>, "Walker, Miriam D" <mdwalker@sled.sc.gov>, "sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov" <sheriff@bambergcounty.sc.gov>, Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>, Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

Tue, Apr 26, 2022 at 10:27 AM

Thank you for letting me know, I will do so.

[Quoted text hidden]



Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

Fwd: Initial Brief of Appellant- Corrected Case No. 2022-000472

1 message

Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>

Thu, Nov 9, 2023 at 3:33 PM

To: Rebekah Hiatt <rebekah@scvan.org>, Nicole McCune <nmccune@scvan.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cassie Green** <cassie@scvan.org>

Date: Fri, May 13, 2022 at 4:10 PM

Subject: Initial Brief of Appellant- Corrected Case No. 2022-000472

To: <ctappfilings@sccourts.org>, <cbhutto@williamsattys.com>, <DMiller@aikencountysc.gov>, <rdudek@sccid.sc.gov>, <wblitch@scag.gov>, <awilson@scag.gov>

Cc: Sarah Ford <sarah@scvan.org>, Tamika Cannon <tamika@scvan.org>, Terri Bailey <terri@scvan.org>

Good Afternoon All--

Please see the attached *Initial Brief of Appellant*, that was edited to reflect the assigned appeal case number, per prior correspondence today from the Clerk with Mrs. Cannon.

Best regards,

Caspian Green (she/her/hers)
Victim Access Coordinator
South Carolina Victim Assistance Network
PO Box 212863
Columbia, SC 29221
Office: (803) 750-1200/ 843-929-4000
Fax: (866) 473-1272
www.scvanlegal.org



SCVAN
Legal Services
Program

--

LUNA SHARK MEDIA COURTESY OF

Sarah A. Ford

Legal Director



PO Box 212863, Columbia, SC 29221

www.scvanlegal.org



SOUTH CAROLINA
VICTIM ASSISTANCE NETWORK

Legal Services Program

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY: This email, and any attachments thereto, is intended for use only by the addressee(s) named herein and may contain confidential information, legally privileged information, and attorney-client work product. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this email, and any attachments thereto, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by email, telephone or fax, and permanently delete the original and any of any email and printout thereof. Thank you.

 **Initial Brief of Appellant- 2022-000472.pdf**
145K

COURTESY OF
LUNA SHARK MEDIA