Child Support

- Q. Commencing April 1, 2002 the Defendant shall the Plaintiff child support in the amount of Eight Hundred (\$800.00) Dollars per month based upon the present financial conditions of the parties, which amount represents an upward deviation from the South Carolina Department of Social Services Child Support Guidelines.

 The child support shall be payable through the Office of the Clerk of Court for Horry County plus three (3%) percent court costs.
- r. The Defendant agrees to bring current through March 2002 the child support as provided in the Temporary Order signed March 15, 2002 and filed March 19, 2002.

Tax Exemption

s. The parties agree that the Plaintiff shall have the tax exemptions for the children for the year 2001. Commencing with the 2002 tax year, the Plaintiff shall have the exemption for their daughter. If either of the parties' minor children attends college and if the Defendant pays that child's tuition, the Defendant shall be entitled to the exemption for the child for whom he pays tuition. However, if the child attending college continues to reside with the Plaintiff while he or she attends college, the parties shall split the benefit of the exemption for that child.

Medical Insurance

t. The Defendant shall provide medical insurance for Lacy and Patrick. Pursuant to the Child Support Guidelines, the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the first \$250.00 per child per year deductible or noncovered medical expense until they graduate from high school. Any amount of noncovered or deductible thereafter shall be paid by the parties based upon the percent of the total monthly income of the parties based upon the Defendant's monthly income of \$2,817.00 and Plaintiff's monthly income of \$1,153.48. Should the children attend college, the Defendant agrees to continue the health insurance on him or her. The parties agree that should the Plaintiff be able to obtain health insurance for the children at a lower premium than the Defendant, then



the Plaintiff shall obtain that insurance and the Defendant shall timely reimburse the Plaintiff for the monthly insurance premium.

Automobile

u. The Defendant shall provide in June 2003 a vehicle for the Plaintiff with a value not exceeding \$12,000.00 plus the trade-in value of the vehicle that she presently drives. The Defendant shall be responsible for taxes, insurance, maintenance, and upkeep on the vehicle until their daughter graduates from high school or until the Plaintiff remarries whichever first occurs.

Medical Bills

- v. The parties acknowledge that there are certain medical bills now outstanding and due.

 Each party agrees to be responsible for payment of one-half of the following medical bills with the provision that should the Plaintiff file bankruptcy and these debts be discharged, the Defendant shall have no further liability for the payment of these medical bills:
 - 1. Grand Strand Plastic Surgery in the amount of \$1,804.50
 - 2. Carolina OB/GYN Waccamaw Neck in the amount of \$151.15
 - 3. Coastal Carolina OTO Associates in the amount of \$785.00
 - 4. Hospital, Doctor, and medical providers relating to Plaintiff's recent Gallbladder surgery. (The Plaintiff shall be responsible fro the first \$250.00 deductible.)

Employment

w. The Defendant shall employ the Plaintiff as an independent contractor to do personal typing and computer work at the pay of \$150.00 per week with a maximum of ten (10) hours per week. This work shall commence on April 1, 2002. The independent contractor employment shall continue until Lacy graduates from high school or until the Plaintiff remarries whichever first occurs. The payment of \$150.00 per week for the services rendered shall be paid regardless of whether any actual work is performed. The Defendant shall not terminate this independent



contractor employment relationship for any reason other than the happening of Lacy's graduation from high school or upon the Plaintiff's remarriage. The independent employment contract shall result in the issuance of a Form 1099 notice of income to the Plaintiff and no taxes shall be withheld from the Plaintiff's independent employment contract compensation.

Alimony

Each party waives any claim he or she may have against the other for alimony and/or spousal support. The alimony order to be paid by the Defendant pursuant to the Temporary Order filed August 8, 2001 and the second Temporary Order dated march 15, 2002 and filed March 19, 2002 is waived by the Plaintiff.

Attorney Fees and Costs

- y. Each party shall be responsible for his or her own attorney fees and costs.
- Both parties have filed the appropriate Financial Declaration as required by the Family Court
 Rule.
- 13. I find that the Plaintiff is a forty-eight (48) year-old female with satisfactory health. The Plaintiff has completed some college courses but has no college degree. I find that the Plaintiff is presently employed.
- 14. I find that the Defendant is a fifty-three (53) year-old male. I find the Defendant is a minister with a bachelor's degree and master's degree. The Defendant suffers from a heart condition for which he is currently taking medication. The Defendant testified that he can carry out the financial provision of this agreement.
- 15. I find that both parties are very familiar with the financial ability, income, debts, expenses, and net worth of the other based upon the Financial Declarations submitted by the parties and by the representations set forth in the comprehensive agreement of the parties.
- 16. I further find that the Plaintiff is represented by David R. Gravely of the firm of Bellamy, Rutenberg, Copeland, Epps, Gravely & Bowers, P.A., Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. The Defendant is represented by Deborah Dantzler and Charles R. Rhodes, Jr. of the firm of



- Dantzler and Rhodes, P.A. of Conway, South Carolina.
- 17. I find that both parties are very familiar with the terms and conditions of the agreement as heretofore set out and both parties desire this Court to approve the agreement and make it the order of this Court.
- 18. I find that both Plaintiff and Defendant are well satisfied with the services of their respective attorney and have so testified.
- 19. Both parties testified in detail regarding their understanding of the agreement. Each party indicated that the agreement was entered into freely and voluntarily, that neither was under force nor duress to enter into the agreement, that was neither under the influence of intoxicants during the preparation and negotiation nor at the time of this proceeding, that each has made an appropriate financial disclosure to the other, that the agreement represents the complete understanding of the parties, that each party had the opportunity to obtain the services of necessary legal counsel and/or other experts before entering into the agreement, and both parties believe the agreement to represent a fair and equitable resolution of the issues of the marriage.
- I find extraordinary circumstances exist that authorize me to approve the joint custody arrangement. The extraordinary circumstances being that the parties have cooperated well regarding the children and that the oldest child is fully emancipated. The middle child is eighteen and shall graduate from high school in a few months. The parties contemplate a continued ability to provide for their children's best interest. Although some of the agreements between the parties are unusual in certain respects, the Court finds the agreement to be within the bounds of reasonableness both substantively and procedurally and approves the the agreement of the parties as the order of this Court.
- 21. The Court finds that the child support agreed upon by the parties is an upward deviation from the Guideline amount, and the Court finds that the parties negotiated the upward deviation amount based upon the existing income. The Court finds the deviation in child support is reasonable under the circumstances in this case.
- 22. The Court finds that the Court appointed guardian ad litem, John L. Sherrill, has not made an investigation in this case based upon the fact that the parties were able to resolve all issues and the guardian has incurred no fee for which the parties are responsible. The guardian has expressed no opinion on the merits of the agreement of the parties



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	A)
)
COUNTY OF HORRY)
SUSAN MILLER,)
Social Security)
Plaintiff,)
ŕ)
vs.)
	Ó
REGINAL WAYNE MILLER,	Ó
Social Security	Ń
Boolus Sounis,	Ś
Defendant.	, \
Defendant.	- /

IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL COURT Civil Action No. 01-DR-26-1259

02 EFR 10 AM 9: 5

DATE:

JUDGE: PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY: DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY:

GUARDIAN AD LITEM: COURT REPORTER: March 14, 2002 MARY E. BUCHAN DAVID R. GRAVELY CHARLES R. RHODES, JR.

JOHN L. SHERRILL PATSY J. MARTIN

FINAL DECREE OF DIVORCE (Ending Action)

The Plaintiff instituted this action for separate support and maintenance, by the filing of a Summons and Complaint. The Defendant was properly served and timely filed his Answer and Counterclaim. Both parties, their attorneys, and the Plaintiff's corroborating witness were present at the final hearing. Plaintiff's counsel made a motion to allow the Plaintiff to supplement her Complaint and seek a divorce on the ground of one year separation. The Defendant did not object to the Plaintiff's motion, and the motion to supplement the complaint was granted. Prior to the call of the case for trial, the attorneys for the parties announced to the Court that a full and complete agreement had been reached on all issues. I find the Plaintiff is entitled to a divorce on the ground





of one year separation and the agreement of the parties should be approved as the order of the Court.

JURISDICTION

- 1. The parties were married on April 14, 1974. Three children have been born unto the marriage, namely John-Paul Miller, whose date of birth is May 20, 1979; Patrick Ryan Miller, whose date of birth is December 7, 1983; and Lacy Noelle Miller, whose date of birth is December 27, 1990. The Defendant was properly served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint. Both parties have appeared personally and through their pleadings. Both parties were present with counsel at the final hearing, and thus this Court has personal jurisdiction of the parties.
- This is an action for divorce and related matrimony relief. Therefore, this court has subject matter jurisdiction. Machado v. Machado, 220 S.C. 90, 66 S.E.2d 629 (1951), and South Carolina Code Ann. Section 20-3-10 and Section 20-7-420, 1976 as amended.
- 3. The parties last resided together as husband and wife in the State of South Carolina, and the parties have resided in the State of South Carolina for many years prior to the filing of this action. Therefore, both parties satisfy the residency requirements of South Carolina divorce law. South Carolina Code Ann. Section 20-3-30.
- 4. Horry County is the proper venue of this action as the parties last resided here as husband and wife. South Carolina Code Ann. Section 20-3-60.
- 5. This action was filed on May 10, 2001, and the matter is ripe for the issuance of a final decree. South Carolina Code Ann. Section 20-3-80.
- 6. Before commencing this proceeding, I made an earnest attempt to bring about a reconciliation. I inquired as to the possibility of a reconciliation, but my efforts were unavailing. South Carolina Code Ann. Section 20-3-90.
- 7. I had the opportunity to observe the demeanor of both parties during the proceeding and to thoroughly review the court's entire file. I am convinced that no collusion or connivance has occurred during this proceeding.



RIGHT TO DIVORCE

- 8. The Plaintiff has requested a divorce, a vinculo matrimonii, from the Defendant, on the ground of one year continuous separation.
- 9. The Defendant testified that the parties have lived separate and apart continuously without cohabitation since February 25, 2001. The Plaintiff offered David J. Victoria, Jr. as her corroborating witness. Mr. Victoria works with the Defendant and knows both parties well. Mr. Victoria corroborated the Plaintiff's testimony and confirmed, of his own knowledge, that the parties have lived separate and apart continuously since February 25, 2001. There is no present chance of reconciliation, and I find that the Plaintiff has adequately proven that the parties have lived continuously apart since February 25, 2001.
- I conclude, therefore, that the Plaintiff is entitled to a divorce, a vinculo matrimonii, from the
 Defendant upon the ground of one year continuous separation.

APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT

11. The Plaintiff's attorney announced to the Court the agreement of the parties and the Defendant's attorney confirmed the terms of the agreement. The agreement of the parties is as follows:

Custody

- a. The parties shall have joint legal custody of their minor children with neither party being designated as primary or secondary custodial parent.
- b. The parties will work together to make all decisions regarding their children's well being and care.
- c. Each party shall notify the other before removing their daughter from the State of South Carolina.
- d. Each party has agreed to provide the other with all information regarding their daughter's medical records, education records, extra-curricular activities, and all other matters relating to their daughter.
- e. Each party shall keep the other advised of his or her current address, telephone number, and place of employment.



- f. Each party shall give the other at least sixty (60) days notice of his or her intent to move outside of Horry County.
- g. The parties shall not discuss any financial issues in the presence of their children.
- h. Neither party shall make any disparaging or derogative remarks about the other in the presence of their children nor shall they allow any third party to make any disparaging or derogative remarks about the other in the presence of the children.
- i. The noncustodial party would have the right of first refusal to have Lacy stay with him or her if the custodial party has a need to be away overnight when Lacy is scheduled to be in his or her custody.
- j. Neither party shall have over night guest with whom he or she is romantically involved while the children are in their custody.

Visitation

- k. The Defendant shall have visitation alternating weekends from Friday after school until Sunday evening.
- 1. The Defendant shall have visitation every Thursday night and also shall have visitation any Sunday that the Plaintiff does not take her daughter to church.
- m. The Defendant will also have visitation after school each day the Plaintiff is at work until after her employment.
- n. The Defendant shall have visitation each day during the summer while the Plaintiff is at work until after her employment.
- o. The Defendant shall have extended visitation for one week each month during the months of June, July and August each year. The Defendant shall give Plaintiff sufficient notice of the weeks he desires to exercise the extended summer visitation by May 1 of each year.
- p. The status quo shall be continued between the parties regarding visitation during Easter, Thanksgiving, and Christmas in that the holidays shall be equally divided and rotated between the parties.



Child Support

- q. Commencing April 1, 2002 the Defendant shall the Plaintiff child support in the amount of Eight Hundred (\$800.00) Dollars per month based upon the present financial conditions of the parties, which amount represents an upward deviation from the South Carolina Department of Social Services Child Support Guidelines. The child support shall be payable through the Office of the Clerk of Court for Horry County plus three (3%) percent court costs.
- r. The Defendant agrees to bring current through March 2002 the child support as provided in the Temporary Order signed March 15, 2002 and filed March 19, 2002.

Tax Exemption

s. The parties agree that the Plaintiff shall have the tax exemptions for the children for the year 2001. Commencing with the 2002 tax year, the Plaintiff shall have the exemption for their daughter. If either of the parties' minor children attends college and if the Defendant pays that child's tuition, the Defendant shall be entitled to the exemption for the child for whom he pays tuition. However, if the child attending college continues to reside with the Plaintiff while he or she attends college, the parties shall split the benefit of the exemption for that child.

Medical Insurance

t. The Defendant shall provide medical insurance for Lacy and Patrick. Pursuant to the Child Support Guidelines, the Plaintiff shall be responsible for the first \$250.00 per child per year deductible or noncovered medical expense until they graduate from high school. Any amount of noncovered or deductible thereafter shall be paid by the parties based upon the percent of the total monthly income of the parties based upon the Defendant's monthly income of \$2,817.00 and Plaintiff's monthly income of \$1,153.48. Should the children attend college, the Defendant agrees to continue the health insurance on him or her. The parties agree that should the Plaintiff be able to obtain health insurance for the children at a lower premium than the Defendant, then



the Plaintiff shall obtain that insurance and the Defendant shall timely reimburse the Plaintiff for the monthly insurance premium.

<u>Automobile</u>

u. The Defendant shall provide in June 2003 a vehicle for the Plaintiff with a value not exceeding \$12,000.00 plus the trade-in value of the vehicle that she presently drives.
 The Defendant shall be responsible for taxes, insurance, maintenance, and upkeep on the vehicle until their daughter graduates from high school or until the Plaintiff remarries whichever first occurs.

Medical Bills

- v. The parties acknowledge that there are certain medical bills now outstanding and due.

 Each party agrees to be responsible for payment of one-half of the following medical bills with the provision that should the Plaintiff file bankruptcy and these debts be discharged, the Defendant shall have no further liability for the payment of these medical bills:
 - 1. Grand Strand Plastic Surgery in the amount of \$1,804.50
 - 2. Carolina OB/GYN Waccamaw Neck in the amount of \$151.15
 - 3. Coastal Carolina OTO Associates in the amount of \$785.00
 - Hospital, Doctor, and medical providers relating to Plaintiff's recent Gallbladder surgery. (The Plaintiff shall be responsible fro the first \$250.00 deductible.)

Employment

w. The Defendant shall employ the Plaintiff as an independent contractor to do personal typing and computer work at the pay of \$150.00 per week with a maximum of ten (10) hours per week. This work shall commence on April 1, 2002. The independent contractor employment shall continue until Lacy graduates from high school or until the Plaintiff remarries whichever first occurs. The payment of \$150.00 per week for the services rendered shall be paid regardless of whether any actual work is performed. The Defendant shall not terminate this independent



contractor employment relationship for any reason other than the happening of Lacy's graduation from high school or upon the Plaintiff's remarriage. The independent employment contract shall result in the issuance of a Form 1099 notice of income to the Plaintiff and no taxes shall be withheld from the Plaintiff's independent employment contract compensation.

Alimony

x. Each party waives any claim he or she may have against the other for alimony and/or spousal support. The alimony order to be paid by the Defendant pursuant to the Temporary Order filed August 8, 2001 and the second Temporary Order dated march 15, 2002 and filed March 19, 2002 is waived by the Plaintiff.

Attorney Fees and Costs

- y. Each party shall be responsible for his or her own attorney fees and costs.
- 12. Both parties have filed the appropriate Financial Declaration as required by the Family Court Rule.
- 13. I find that the Plaintiff is a forty-eight (48) year-old female with satisfactory health. The Plaintiff has completed some college courses but has no college degree. I find that the Plaintiff is presently employed.
- 14. I find that the Defendant is a fifty-three (53) year-old male. I find the Defendant is a minister with a bachelor's degree and master's degree. The Defendant suffers from a heart condition for which he is currently taking medication. The Defendant testified that he can carry out the financial provision of this agreement.
- 15. I find that both parties are very familiar with the financial ability, income, debts, expenses, and net worth of the other based upon the Financial Declarations submitted by the parties and by the representations set forth in the comprehensive agreement of the parties.
- 16. I further find that the Plaintiff is represented by David R. Gravely of the firm of Bellamy, Rutenberg, Copeland, Epps, Gravely & Bowers, P.A., Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. The Defendant is represented by Deborah Dantzler and Charles R. Rhodes, Jr. of the firm of



- Dantzler and Rhodes, P.A. of Conway, South Carolina.
- 17. I find that both parties are very familiar with the terms and conditions of the agreement as heretofore set out and both parties desire this Court to approve the agreement and make it the order of this Court.
- 18. I find that both Plaintiff and Defendant are well satisfied with the services of their respective attorney and have so testified.
- 19. Both parties testified in detail regarding their understanding of the agreement. Each party indicated that the agreement was entered into freely and voluntarily, that neither was under force nor duress to enter into the agreement, that was neither under the influence of intoxicants during the preparation and negotiation nor at the time of this proceeding, that each has made an appropriate financial disclosure to the other, that the agreement represents the complete understanding of the parties, that each party had the opportunity to obtain the services of necessary legal counsel and/or other experts before entering into the agreement, and both parties believe the agreement to represent a fair and equitable resolution of the issues of the marriage.
- 20. I find extraordinary circumstances exist that authorize me to approve the joint custody arrangement. The extraordinary circumstances being that the parties have cooperated well regarding the children and that the oldest child is fully emancipated. The middle child is eighteen and shall graduate from high school in a few months. The parties contemplate a continued ability to provide for their children's best interest. Although some of the agreements between the parties are unusual in certain respects, the Court finds the agreement to be within the bounds of reasonableness both substantively and procedurally and approves the the agreement of the parties as the order of this Court.
- 21. The Court finds that the child support agreed upon by the parties is an upward deviation from the Guideline amount, and the Court finds that the parties negotiated the upward deviation amount based upon the existing income. The Court finds the deviation in child support is reasonable under the circumstances in this case.
- 22. The Court finds that the Court appointed guardian ad litem, John L. Sherrill, has not made an investigation in this case based upon the fact that the parties were able to resolve all issues and the guardian has incurred no fee for which the parties are responsible. The guardian has expressed no opinion on the merits of the agreement of the parties



THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Plaintiff is granted a divorce, a <u>vinculo matrimonii</u>, on the ground of one year continuous separation and the agreement as set out hereinabove is adopted as the order of this Court and enforceable by the contempt powers of this Court.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

Mary E. Bychan

Presiding Judge, Family Court

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit

April 🎗 , 2002

Morion, South Carolina

9

<u>DIRECTIONS FOR PAYMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT OR ALIMONY</u>

x	I. II.	applica	rt ordered is NOT to be paid through the Clerk's Office - remainder of form not able rt payable through Clerk's Office - complete remainder of form.
		A. B.	Total amount of arrearage, if any \$ Payments
			CHILD SUPPORT ALIMONY Base Amount \$ 800.00 Base Amount \$ Arrearage 24,00 Arrearage 3% 824.00 3%
		C.	Frequency (check one) weekly X monthly
		D.	Date of first payment: April 1, 2002 (This supersedes the 3/15/02 Order) Month Day Year
		E.	Paid by: Name: Reginal Wayne Miller PO Box: Post Office Box 2160 Street: City: Myrtle Beach State: South Carolina Zip: 29578 SSN: Employer: Cathedral Baptist Church Employer Address: 803 Howard Parkway Myrtle Beach, SC 29577
		F.	Paid to: Name: Susan Miller Street: 8825 Chandler Drive City: Surfside State: South Carolina Zip: 29575 SSN: Employer: Waccamaw Dermatology Employer Address: Medical Circle Myrtle Beach, South Carolina
		G.	Wage withholding ordered: required by <u>Code</u> §20-7-1315(L) orderedx_ not ordered
			Prepared by: <u>David R. Gravely</u> Date: <u>March 18, 2002</u>

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA FILED COUNTY OF HORRY HORRY COUNTY FAMILY COURT 2892 APR 11 PM 4:30

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE CASE NO.2001-01-26-01259

JEANNEL J. RUBERTS CLERK OF COURT

SUSAN MILLER

REGINAL WAYNE MILLER

PLAINTIFF (S)

DEFENDANT (S)

- () Jury verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury, The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
- () Decision by court. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.
- () Action dismissed. () Rule 40(c)3, SCRCP () Rule 12(b), SCRCP () Settled () Vol. Non-suit w/wo prejudice () Other, Explain
 - IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: () See attached order (X) Statement of Judgment by the Court

PLAINTIFF GRANTED DIVORCE (1 YR. SEP.)

Date at Conway, South Carolina, this 28 day of FEBRUARY ,2002.

CLERK OF COURT

This judgment was entered on the Z8 day of FEBRUARY ,2002, and a copy mailed first class this 11 day of APRIL ,2002, to attorneys of record or to parties (When appearing FRO SE as follows:)

DAVID R GRAVELY

DEBORAH DANTZLER JOHN SHERRILL

Attorney(s) for Plaintiff (s)

Attorney(s) for Defendant (s)

IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 01-DR-26-1259 COUNTY OF HORRY Pamela Susan Miller, Plaintiff, SECOND TEMPORARY ORDER VS. Reginal Wayne Miller, Defendant.

DATE OF HEARING:

November 30, 2001

PRESIDING JUDGE:

The Honorable H.T. Abbott, III

PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:

David R. Gravely

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY:

Deborah B. Dantzler

COURT REPORTER:

Kay Richardson

This matter came before the Court upon Defendant's second Motion for Temporary Relief upon the grounds that there has been a change in circumstances since the issuance of the previous temporary order justifying a reduction in the Defendant's support obligations. Consolidated for hearing with this matter was a Clerk's rule for an alleged arrearage in child support and alimony. The rule was originally scheduled for hearing on September 24, 2001. Present at the call of the hearing were the Plaintiff's attorney, Defendant's attorney, and Defendant. Plaintiff was not present.

Defendant submitted various affidavits and financial records into evidence. Plaintiff submitted no additional evidence for consideration. The court heard arguments of counsel and took the matter under advisement. Based upon the evidence submitted, I find that there is a sufficient change of circumstances to justify a modification of the existing order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, AJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

The issue of contempt pursuant to the Clerk's rule issued on August A.

...

22, 2001, and scheduled for hearing on September 24, 2001, is hereby reserved until the merits hearing.

Defendant's child support obligation is modified to reflect a gross B. monthly income of \$2,817. Pursuant to the South Carolina Child Support Guidelines, Defendant shall pay child support in the amount of \$510 per month effective August 1, 2001. He shall continue to pay this sum through the Horry County Clerk of Court with three percent (3%) service charge for a total monthly payment of \$525.30.

Defendant's alimony obligation to the Plaintiff is reduced to \$500 per C. month effective August 1, 2001. He shall continue to make these payments through the Horry County Clerk of Court with three percent (3%) service charge for a total monthly payment of \$515.

All alleged arrearages in child support and alimony are held in D. abeyance and shall be addressed at the final hearing.

Defendant is relieved of his obligation to provide health insurance E. through his place of employment for the Plaintiff and the minor children. If health and hospitalization insurance is available to the Plaintiff and she elects to obtain coverage, then she shall be entitled to a credit in the computation of child support effective as of the date of the coverage.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED!

Resident Family Court Judge

Fifteenth Judicial Circuit

Conway, South Carolina

March /1, 2002

MAN TO A STATE OF THE STATE OF

Plaintiff:

Pamela Susan Miller

SS #:250-04-0976

Employer: Waccamaw Dermatology Medical Circle Myrtle Beach, SC 29572 Defendant:

Reginal Wayne Miller 3559 Chestnut Drive Myrtle Beach, SC 29577

SS#: 250-78-999-

Employer: Cathedral Baptist Church 803 Howard Parkway Myrtle Beach, SC 29577

South Carolina Department of Social Services

CHILD SUPPORT OBLIGATION: WORKSHEET A

	Mayn or Wayn	e Miller		01-DR-26-0
Susan Miller		of Defendant		File Number
Name of Plaintiff	TABLE		Mother	
*		Father	•	
1. Monthly Gross Income:		2,817	1,153	
		Imputed	[Imputed	
2. Monthly Alimony (This Actio	n)	0	500	
a. To Be Received: b. To Be Paid:		-500	0	
2 a Other Monthly Alimony or C	hild Support Paid:			
(If Having Priority Over 11	is Action)	0	0	Combined Monthly
b. Adjustment for <u>0</u>	Other Child(ren)	0	0	Adjusted Gross Income
In the Home:		0.047	+ 4(M) 1,653	* 4(C) 3,970
4. Adjusted Monthly Gross I	ncome: 4(F)		4 4(M)	4(F) + 4(M) = 4(C)
				7
Number of Children To Be Sup	ported By Order in Ti	nis Action:	2	
5. Basic Combined Child Sup	port Obligation (Gross	s):		
(From Schedule, Using Combine	d Monthly Adjusted			5(C) 874
Gross Income (Line (4C))	a doblination			
 Adjustments to Basic Child a. Health Insurance Premi 	Support Obligation			
a. Health insurance French (Portion Covering Children On	aly)	0	0	
b. Child(ren)'s Extraordinary M		0	0	
c. Work-related Child Care	e Costs Adjusted			
For Federal Tax Credit:			0	
Actual x 0.7	5 = • maximum = -4	0		
(0.75 adjusts for Federal Tax Cre apply for certain income levels; s	east but does not see instructions)			
Total adjustment to Basic Con	nbined Child		6(M) 0	= 6(C)
Support Obligation:	6(F)		F 0(M)	
7. Total Combined Monthly Obligation: (Net)	Cillid Support			= 7(C) 874
Canganom (1125)				5(C) + 6(C) = 7(C)
		/		
8. Proportional Share of Com	bined Monthly		44 040/	
Adjusted Gross Income:	8(F)		8(M) 41.64% 4(M) / 4(C) = B(M)	
9. Gross Child Support Obliga	ation of Individual	4(F) / 4(C) = 8(F)		Ì
Parent: (Monthly)	9(F)		9(M) 364 7(C) x B(M) = 9(M)	
V ev		7(C) x 8(F) = 9(F)	/(C) X B(M) = 9(M)	
Complete Items 10-11 for No	n-Custodial Parent (Only:		
Non-Custodial Parent is: (Chec	ck One)	Father X	Mother	
10. Credit for Adjustments to Basi				
Child Support Obligation:	A AAMANIAA			
(From Item 6(F) or Item 6(M))	10(F)	0	10(M)0	
		(Same as item 6(F))	(Same as Item 6(M))	
 Net Child Support To Be P Custodial Parent: 	'alu 10 11(F)	510	11(M) 0	
		9(F) - 10(F) = 11(F)	9(M) - 10(M) = 11(M)	
		Worksheet Prep	ared by:	
Date: March 11, 2002		Deborah B. Dar		
		For: Father X	Mother	
M A M. 1	necessary places considi		,,,,,,,,,,	
Note: If deviation from the Guidelines is	necessary, please specin	y repairie (uver).		

Diagnostic Information

Susan Miller Name of Plaintiff	vs.	Wayne Miller Name of Defendant		01-DR-26-0 File Number
Miscellaneous Payment	Options:		Gross Obligation Plus 3% Fee	
	Gross Obligation	3% Fee	F105 570 1 00	
	510.00	15.30	525.30	
Monthly	255.00		262.65	
Semi-monthly	235.38	7.00	242.44	
Bi-weekly	117.69	2.50	121.22	
Weekly				

Other Children in the Home:

Father has 0 additional child(ren) in the home for which the calculated deduction is \$0. Mother has 0 additional child(ren) in the home for which the calculated deduction is \$0.

Calculation of Work-related Child Care Costs (net of tax credit):

Calculation of Work-tolassa annual	<u>Father</u>	<u>Mother</u>
Total Monthly Work-related Child Care Costs Number of Children with Parent (Present Action) Percentage of Costs Included in Obligation Monthly Child Care Cost Net of Credit	0 0 100%	0 2 75% 0
Mountly Cities care court		

NOTES:

- 1. No credit is calculated when the custodial parent's income is below a level at which the credit cannot be utilized for tax purposes. See Child Support Guidelines Handbook for details.
- 2. No credit is calculated for a parent without custody of a child.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THI FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY OF HORRY	Ś	01-DR-26-1259
Pamela Susan Miller,)	55
Plaintiff,))	25 P. C.
vs.)	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
Reginald Wayne Miller,	Ś	Service of Control of
Defendant.) }	3 5 5

The undersigned does hereby certify that a clocked copy of the Temporary Order in the above-referenced action was served on March 20, 2002, by depositing same in the United States Mail with sufficient postage attached and addressed as follows:

David Gravely, Esquire The Bellamy Law Firm PO Drawer 357 Myrtle Beach, SC 29578

Helena S. Coachman

SWORN to before me this 20th

day of March 2002.

NOTARY PUBLIC FOR SOUTH CAROLINA

My Commission expires: 01-21-2007.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF HORRY

IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FILE NO. 01-DR-26-1259

Susan Miller

Plaintiff,

ORDER TO STRIKE

VS.

Reginal Miller

Defendant.

It appears this action has been pending for more than 270 days without prosecution. Therefore, upon Administrative Order of the Honorable Chief Justice David W. Harwell dated June 5, 1992, it is:

ORDERED that this case is hereby stricken from the file book with leave to restore;

ORDERED FURTHER that this case may be restored only upon the written order of the Chief Administrative Judge, obtained after written application is made and good cause shown why the case should be continued as a pending case;

ORDERED FURTHER that the Order restoring the case to the file book shall set the case for trial and final disposition at a time and date certain;

ORDERED FURTHER that all orders issued before this striking shall remain in full force and effect, unless limited by their terms.

Conway, South Carolina DATED 2/28/02

CLERK OF COURT

COPY: D. Gravely / D. Dantzler

	OUNT IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE
Q1	
SUSAN MILLER, JEANNE J. CLERK OF	F COURT
PLAINTIFF,)
VS. REGINALD MILLER, DEFENDANT.) STATUS CONFERENCE ORDER))
Attorney for Plaintiff: David Gravely Attorney for Defendant: D. Dantzler Guardian ad Litem: J. Sherrill	Phone/Fax: 448-3022 Phone/Fax: 248-8127 Phone/Fax: 238-3371
1. The following are the issues that shall	ll be addressed at the hearing on the merits:
(1) Custody (2) Support (3) Visitation (4) Attorney Fees (5) Divorce (contested)	(6) Equitable division of Real/Personal Property (7) Alimony (8) Other:
2 A. The Plaintiff shall furnish the days of this Order:	e following information to the Defendant within
B. The Defendant shall furnish t days of this Order:	the following information to the Plaintiff within
3A. The parties stipulate to the va	alue of the real property and lien indebtedness.
appointed by If no agr to the Court to appoint an appraiser. If the P	the real property values or an appraiser to be reement, the Plaintiff/Defendant shall submit an Order laintiff and Defendant can agree as to an appraiser, they appraiser by the above date. The cost shall be

4A. The parties agree that all personal property has been divided satisfactorily.
B. The parties shall exchange personal property lists with values, including retirement accounts, savings plans, cash values of life insurance, and values of any vehicle within days. If the parties disagree as to the value of any personal property, an appraiser shall be appointed and the appraisal shall be completed by The cost shall be
5. SCHEDULE:
A. The Plaintiff/Defendant shall make a written settlement offer by
B. The Plaintiff/Defendant shall respond in writing by
C. The attorneys for both parties shall notify the Chief Administrative Judge via fax regarding the outcome of the negotiations by, at which time, the case shall be scheduled to approve an agreement or for trial. Each attorney shall designate the amount of time estimated to try the entire case.
D. Counsel shall submit copies of the settlement proposal to the Court in sealed envelopes at the merits hearing.
E shall be appointed as Guardian ad Litem. The Guardian ad Litem shall be paid within days from the date of this Order.
F. A subsequent pretrial hearing shall be scheduled onat G. A merits hearing is scheduled onat
H. Other: Ceres by to be resolved as 1 10 days on Estimin to the bee been wiched copy of plandings soud in in the IT IS SO ORDERED. IN 208 to gastan
Dated: Jenny 22, 3002 H.T. Abbott, III
Conway, South Carolina Chief Administrative Judge

WE UNDERSTAND THAT OUR FAILURE TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS ABOVE SHALL SUBJECT US TO SANCTIONS INCLUDING DISMISSAL, AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES OR ANY OTHER REMEDY WITH THE DISCRETION OF THE COURT.

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 01/22/2002 11:00 NAME : ABBOTT FAX : 8032481595 TEL : 8032481882

DATE, TIME FAX NO./NAME DURATION PAGE(S) RESULT MODE

01/22 11:00 94483022 00:00:37 02 OK STANDARD ECM

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 01/22/2002 11:03 NAME : ABBOTT FAX : 8032481595 TEL : 8032481882

DATE,TIME FAX NO./NAME DURATION PAGE(S) RESULT MODE

01/22 11:02 92383371 00:00:56 02 OK STANDARD ECM

1

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF HORRY

IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FILE NO 01-DR-26-1259

Susan Miller

PLAINTIFF

STATUS CONFERENCE ORDER

VS

Reginal Miller

DEFENDANT

1. Status Conference held on: December 10, 2001

2. Appearances: Plaintiff's Attorney:

David Gravely, Telephone

Defendant's Attorney:

Deborah Dantzler, Present

Guardian ad Litem:

3. Findings of Fact:

Trial Date: Second status conference set for January 22, 2002 at 10:00 am

IT IS ORDERED that the parties shall have a continuing obligation to supplement the list of witnesses and exhibits up to the time of the trial;

ORDERED FURTHER that any motion to be relieved as counsel filed after the status conference shall be heard by the Chief Administrative Judge;

ORDERED FURTHER that John Sherrill is hereby appointed as the guardian ad litem in this case and the plaintiff is to pay two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00) in guardian fees within thirty (30) days from the date of this order and the defendant is to pay five hundred dollars (500.00) in guardian fees within thirty (30) days from the date of this conference;

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 12-28-01
CONWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA

H. E. BONNOITT, JR.

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Sherrill & Janes, PA

Attorneys at Law Suites 301 and 302, The Courtyard 1500 Hwy. 17 North P.O. Drawer 14950 Surfside Beach, SC 29587

John L. Sherrill Anne E. Janes Phone: 843-238-8836 Fax: 843-238-3371

2001- 1259 December 20, 2001

Kay Garren Family Court Coordinator Horry County Courthouse Conway, SC 29526

Re: Susan Miller v. Wayne Miller 01-DR-26-1259

Edgar A. Cuddy v. Tamara L, Cuddy 01-DR-26-2060

Dear Kay:

At a status conference on December 10, 2001, I understand I was appointed as the Guardian ad Litem for Lacy Miller. Furthermore, in a status conference on December 3, 2001 Judge Bonnoitt issued an order on the Cuddy case as well. If these Orders have been typed, would you please fax a copy to my office.

Sincerely,

Sherrill and Janes, PA

John L. Sherrill

JLS/acs

Sent by fax only to 248-7119

Orders aheady sent to Judge Bornorth.

HEARING DATE NOVEM	th	
OFFICER 0560 RICKY M. POI		
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,	HORRY COUNTY	
COUNTY OF HORRY	01 NOV 16 AM 11:29	IN THE FAMILY COURT
	CLEME OF COURT	15TH JUDICIAL CURCUIT
SUSAN MILLER)	
Di ai)) .ntiff(s))	
vs.)	AFFIDAVIT
REGINAL WANE MILLER	{	OF SERVICE
Defe) endant(s))	
		CASE # 2001DR261259
RICKY POLK PERSONALL	Y APPEARED BEFORE	ME, the undersigned
deponent, who being duly	sworn says that	he/she served the
RULE TO SHOW		
in the action on REGINAL	WANE MILLER	, by delivery to
() REGINAL WANE MILLER		personally;
()	the	of the party served, and
		residence of the party served;
(X Sinda II	cua, t	he Academic Dean
of Cathedrel	Bible Colle	
and leaving with him/her	a copy at WKS CATH	EDRAL BAPTIST CHURCH
	МВ	
in Horry County, South Ca	roling on the 9	day of form Lac 2001
		nows the person so served, and
that deponent is not a pa		
therewith.	ge and has no inter	est therein or connection
cherewich.		
SWORN to and subscribed b	pefore me	
this 5 day of 100	, 20	\bigcap \bigcap \bigcap
NOTARY PUBLIC OF SOUTH CA	AROT TMA	RICKY M. POLK
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:		IGNATURE OF DEPONENT

)
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) IN THE FAMILY COURT
COUNTY OF HORRY	15th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
SUSAN MILLER	CIVIL CONTEMPT ORDER
	TIFF CERLUPY ACC SINCE (NON-SUPPORT)
vs.	TIFF 2001 NOV 20 AN 9:51 (NON-SUPPORT)
REGINAL MILLER	DANT () FILE NO.: 2001-DR-26-1259 DSS NO.:
DEFE	DANT CLERK UP COURT DES NO.:
HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 19, 200	1 JUDGE: ABBOTT
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:D GRAV	
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT: D DANT	
Pursuant to (RULE TO SHOW CAU	SE)/(BENCH WARRANT), this matter was heard on the date shown
Defendant owes a support arre	appear. Defendant <u>DID</u> appear. I find that arage of \$2.963.24 as of the hearing date. I find that
the Defendant's failure to ma	ke support payments when due willfull, and that
Defendantthe abilit	y to make these payments when due. My conclusions are based
on the following facts: THE I	EFENDANT HAS HAD A CHANGE IN INCOME AND HAS FILED BANRUPTCY.
Therefore I find:	
	vil contempt of this court. contempt of this court, and I ORDER that Defendant be
confined to the J. Reuben Lor	g Detention Center.
	mself/herself of contempt and be released from confinement
by	
_	ses related to this proceeding of \$
to the Horry Count	to the Horry County Clerk of Court to
apply against arre	
	EING TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT PER JUDGE ABBOTT.
Confinement shall commence	
(□) Immediately (□) at o'clo	ck .m., on , 19 , when Defendant
Lamed .	g Detention Center, unless he/she has earlier purged
himself/herself of contempt.	j tontout, annual no, one mas caused pargea
PROVIDED that the period of o	onfinement shall not exceed XXXXXXXXX/
TT IS FURTHER ADDROED that De	Fordont
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that De	(+3% court costs) per to apply
against arrearages, co	
	previously ordered payments.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED	
	llection of the support arrearages shall be held
in abeyance until further	
	rt shall implement wage-withholding immediately.
· L_1/	20-27-1600-0
	e parties shall advise the Clerk of Court of their current
addresses at all times.	
	1) seul
MOVEMBER 19 2001	DEPCEDING THOSE The Family Court

NOVEMBER 19, 2001
Conway, South Carolina SCCA437

PRESIDING JUDGE -The Family Court
of the 15th Judicial Circuit



STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE FAMILY COURT OF THE
COUNTY OF HORRY)	FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 01-DR-26-1259
Pamela Susan Miller,)	En pay
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	ORDER OF CONTINUANCE
Reginal Wayne Milter,)	
Defendant.		
DATE OF HEARING		October 23, 2001

DATE OF HEARING:
PRESIDING JUDGE:
PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY:
DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY:
COURT REPORTER:

October 23, 2001
The Honorable Lisa A. Kinon
David R. Gravely
Deborah B. Dantzler
Wanda Hughes

This matter came before the Court upon Defendant's second motion for temporary relief asking for a reduction in child support and alimony based on a change in circumstances since the issuance of the existing of the temporary order. Plaintiff's attorney, Defendant, and Defendant's attorney were present at the call of the case. Plaintiff was not present. After a review of the file and the affidavit submitted by the Defendant, I find that it is necessary for the judge who issued the initial temporary order to also hear Defendant's second motion. I therefore decline to hear the motion. Defendant may reschedule the hearing of this matter. I make no finding on the merits of this motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that upon good cause shown the hearing on Defendant's second motion for temporary relief is continued.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED!

Lisa A. Kinon

Resident Family Court Judge Fifteenth Judicial Circuit

Conway, South Carolina November 15, 2001

NOTICE OF RESCHEDULED HEARING

1 1	
30 OF 1 member 2001	AT <u>//:///</u> O'CLOCK <u>//</u> .M. BEFORE
THE HONORABLE	IN THE FAMILY COURTROOM
NUMBER 2.	A a
_ 	Klane
KA	Y GARREN
FA	MILY COURT COORDINATION
	25. 28
*******************	*********
MOTION RE	QUEST
CAPTION: Pamela Susan Miller vs. Regina	al Wayne Miller .
CASE #: <u>01-DR-26-1259</u> D	PATE MOTION FILED: 11-09-01 .
MOVING ATTORNEY: Deborah B. Dantz	
	ler TEL. # 248-5537 FAX #: 248-8127 .
MOVING ATTORNEY: <u>Deborah B. Dantz</u>	ler TEL. # 248-5537 FAX #: 248-8127 (X) PLAINTIFF () DEFENDANT
MOVING ATTORNEY: Deborah B. Dantz MOVING ATTORNEY REPRESENTS:	ler TEL. # 248-5537 FAX #: 248-8127 (X) PLAINTIFF () DEFENDANT
MOVING ATTORNEY: <u>Deborah B. Dantz</u> MOVING ATTORNEY REPRESENTS: OPPOSING ATTORNEY: <u>David Gravely</u>	ler TEL. # 248-5537 FAX #: 248-8127 (X) PLAINTIFF () DEFENDANT TEL.# 448-2400