

Renee S. Beach, et al. v. Gregory M. Parker, et al.  
Case No. 2021-CP-25-00392  
THE PARKER'S DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISQUALIFY ATTORNEY MARK TINSLEY

# EXHIBIT H

**PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL EMAIL**  
**OF MAY 3, 2022**

COURTESY OF  
LUNA SHARK MEDIA

**From:** Mark Tinsley <mark@goodingandgooding.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 3, 2022 10:38 AM  
**To:** McWilliams, Susan P.  
**Cc:** Moore, Mark C.; dbb@deborahbarbier.com; Ricard, Rhett D.; Ralph Tupper; Laine Gooding; Tabor Vaux  
**Subject:** Re: Beach v. Parker, et al. [IWOV-NPGVL1.FID1075329]

{EXTERNAL EMAIL}

Susie I forwarded the email from the Judge to Senator Senn on Friday. You obviously had not told Senator Senn that you intended to appeal and for her client not to comply with the Judge's order, as you had instructed them with regard to the subpoena. Then on Sunday morning Mr. Donahue sent me the documents which we reviewed.

I dispute that there was no final order compelling the production of the materials by Senator Senn's client or that Senator Senn's client had to wait until they received a form 4 order. If you all had intended to appeal the Judge's latest ruling, it seems the prudent thing would have been to have told the people subject to the subpoena not to comply with the court's order, but that was not done. Is it safe to conclude that you just got around to telling Senator Senn not to comply even though you still don't have the Form 4?

Mark Tinsley  
Sent from my iPhone

On May 3, 2022, at 9:36 AM, McWilliams, Susan P. <SMcWilliams@nexsenpruet.com> wrote:

Mark,  
We have just been informed that you apparently gave a copy of or informed Senator Senn of the email from Aimee Intagliata from Friday afternoon and have obtained documents from her client prior to any final order directing their production. The email is not an Order and as you know, Aimee indicated a Form 4 Order would be issued. From that Order, we intended to appeal as was contemplated by Judge Price's April 6<sup>th</sup> Order.

We request that you not review anything you have received, which as you know we contend are privileged and any review by you at this time is improper. We also request that you return those materials to us immediately. We intend to seek relief from an appellate court.  
Susi

**Susan P. McWilliams**  
Member  
Nexsen Pruet, LLC  
1230 Main Street, Suite 700  
Columbia, SC 29201

PO Drawer 2426 (29202)  
T: 803.253.8221  
C: 803-331-3116  
F: 803-727-1476  
[SMcWilliams@nexsenpruet.com](mailto:SMcWilliams@nexsenpruet.com)

[www.nexsenpruet.com](http://www.nexsenpruet.com)

**NEXSEN | PRUET**

\*\*\* FIRM CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION \*\*\* This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments. If you reply to this message, Nexsen Pruet, LLC may collect personal information including your name, business name and other contact details, and IP address. If you have any questions, please contact [Privacy@nexsenpruet.com](mailto:Privacy@nexsenpruet.com).

COURTESY OF  
LUNA SHARK MEDIA