STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) <u>2025CV261090092</u>) CIVIL CASE NUMBER	
COUNTY OF HORRY)) IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT) SUMMONS	
John Paul Miller 4869 hwy 17 bypass Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 PLAINTIFF(S)		
Vs		
Courtney Anne Myrtle Beach, SC 29588		
DEFENDANT(S)		

TO THE DEFENDANT(S) NAMED ABOVE:

YOU ARE SUMMONED and required to answer the allegations of the attached complaint and present any appropriate counterclaims/crossclaims to the attached Complaint within THIRTY days from the first day after receipt of this summons. Your Answer must be received by the:

Myrtle Beach Magistrate Court 1201 21st Avenue North Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 Phone: (843) 915-5293

Fax: (843) 444-6131

If you fail to answer within the prescribed time, a judgment by default may be rendered against you for the amount or other remedy requested in the attached complaint, plus interest and costs. If you desire a jury trial, you must request one in writing at least five (5) working days prior to the date set for trial. If no jury trial is timely requested, the matter will be heard and decided by the Judge.

Given under my hand:

JUDGE

READ ATTACHED INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY

January 10, 2025

	1025 W86109(1092
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTY OF HORRY	CIVIL CASE NUMBER IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT O
JOHN PAUL MILLER PLAINTIFF)))
STREET ADDRESS	
CITY, STATE ZIP	
TELEPHONE VS. COURTNEY ANNE	COMPLAINT
DEFENDANT(S)	
STREET ADDRESS) Myrtle Beach, SC 29588	
CITY, STATE ZIP	
TELEPHONE)	

- I, John Paul Miller, the plaintiff in this civil action do make the following claims:
- 1. I believe the defendant, <u>Courtney Anne</u>, is a resident of <u>Horry</u> County, and resides at <u>Myrtle Beach</u>, <u>SC 29588</u> which is within Judge <u>Arakas</u>'s magisterial jurisdiction or this Complaint is properly filed in <u>Horry</u> County.
 - 2. I make this complaint on the following:

See Attached Facts and Causes of Action (Attach supplement if necessary)

3. I believe, because of the above information, that I am entitled to and do request a judgment for \$7,500.00 and/or other relief as below requested:

Damages not to exceed Seven Thousand Five Hundred

including any costs resulting in this action.

I state under penalty of perjury that the abo	ove is correct) and truthful, except	those based on
my information and belief.		

Dated: January 10, 2025

Signature of Plaintiff (or his attorney)

SUPPLEMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 1. Defendant has engaged in a persistent pattern of harassment against Plaintiff, including screaming and demonstrating against Plaintiff's mere existence in public locations throughout Horry County and posting statements on social media about Plaintiff, which are intended to paint Plaintiff in a false light and like a villain or criminal.
- 2. During these encounters, Defendant regularly screams and yells at Plaintiff in public, videos him with her phone, and posts videos or comments about Plaintiff on social media, all of which cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress and public humiliation.
- 3. Defendant, with others, has followed Plaintiff while he is driving around Myrtle Beach in a manner that places Plaintiff in fear for his safety.
- 4. Defendant claims to be protesting on behalf of domestic violence victims and infers that Plaintiff is a domestic abuser.
- Defendant does not actually help victims of any violence and protests nothing other than Plaintiff's existence. In fact, Defendant only harasses and stalks the Plaintiff.
- 6. Defendant posts statements and videos about Plaintiff where she describes him as an abuser, corrupt, and a criminal, and Plaintiff's late wife as a victim of his abuse.
- 7. Defendant's conduct is intended to harm Plaintiff and enrich Defendant through publicity and fame in a group of like-minded stalkers and through the monetization of social media accounts, channels, and platforms.
- 8. Much of Defendant's conduct is carried out under the guise that she is seeking justice for Plaintiff's late wife or protesting domestic violence, a crime that Plaintiff was never charged with committing and for which there is no factual basis.

- 9. The interest that a subsect of people have in Plaintiff, and in the life of his late wife, was created entirely by Defendant and others like her who have determined on their own that Plaintiff is guilty of a crime that law enforcement officials have cleared him of or never charged him with committing.
- 10. Defendant has published false and defamatory statements about Plaintiff on various social media platforms, including Facebook, with the intent to damage Plaintiff's reputation and interfere with his livelihood and his ministry.
- 11. Defendant's actions have been calculated to intimidate Plaintiff from appearing in public and conducting his normal activities, including the operation of his church.
- 12. Defendant's actions have been calculated to intimidate or influence others from congregating at Plaintiff's church or with Plaintiff in any setting.
- 13. Defendant's harassment and intimidation of Plaintiff and those associated with him have interfered with Plaintiff's ability to live his life without interference from Defendant or others who are influenced by Defendant's actions.
- 14. As a direct result of Defendant's actions, friends and acquaintances of Plaintiff have expressed reluctance to be seen with Plaintiff or to do business with Plaintiff or his affiliated companies.
- 15. Defendant's harassment and intimidation of Plaintiff and those associated with him have interfered with Plaintiff's ability to earn a living, conduct church services, minister to his congregation, and maintain relationships with church members and the broader religious community.

- 16. As a direct result of the Defendant's actions, multiple church members have expressed reluctance to attend services and baptisms, and attendance and participation have declined.
- 17. Defendant's actions have created a dangerous environment for Plaintiff, placing him in fear for his safety and the safety of others.
- 18. Nothing being posted or stated about Plaintiff by Defendant is newsworthy or in the public interest.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Invasion of Privacy)

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 20. Defendant engaged in a pattern of intentional, substantial, and unreasonable intrusion upon Plaintiff's life.
- 21. Defendant's actions served no legitimate purpose and would have caused a reasonable person to suffer substantial emotional distress.
 - 22. Defendant intentionally intruded upon Plaintiff's private affairs and concerns.
 - 23. The intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 24. Defendant engaged in a pattern of words and conduct that served no legitimate purpose and caused Plaintiff to reasonably fear for his safety or suffer substantial emotional distress.
- 25. Defendant's conduct constitutes the unwarranted appropriation or exploitation of Plaintiff's personality and private affairs, with which the public has no legitimate concern, or the wrongful intrusion into Plaintiff's private activities in such a manner as to outrage or cause mental suffering, shame, or humiliation to a person of ordinary sensibilities.

- 26. Defendant invaded Plaintiff's right to privacy in one or more of the following ways: wrongfully appropriating Plaintiff's personality; publicizing Plaintiff's private affairs, which have no legitimate public concern; or wrongfully intruding into Plaintiff's private affairs.
- 27. Defendant's actions also violated South Carolina criminal statutes prohibiting harassment and stalking.
- 28. Law enforcement officers have refused to enforce criminal statutes enacted to protect people like the Plaintiff, leaving Plaintiff no choice but to bring this action.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including emotional distress, reputational harm, anxiety, and other injuries, in an amount to be proven, but not more than \$7,500.00.

	JOS CU26/09 0092		
CELED ON COVERY GARACTER	CIVIL CASE NUMBER		
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE MAGISTRATE'S COURT		
COUNTY OF HORRY	IN THE MAGISTRATE 5 COOK!		
JOHN PAUL MILLER)			
PLAINTIFF(S)			
VS.)	AFFIDAVIT AND ITEMIZATION OF ACCOUNTS		
vs.)	OF ACCOUNTS		
COURTNEY ANNE)			
DEFENDANT(S).)			
Plaintiff, JOHN PAUL MILLER, personally appearing before me, who, being duly sworn, states that he is the plaintiff in this action, and that the itemization of accounts which follows is true and correct. He further states that no part of the sum included in the itemization below has been paid or satisfied in any fashion, and is today due and owed to him.			
ITEMIZATION OF A	ACCOUNTS		
LOSS OF INCOME	\$7500		
	\$		
	\$ \$		
	\$		
TOTAL	\$7,500.00		
(Copies of bills, papers or other proof of any of the above	accounts should be attached to this document.)		
Sworn to and Subscribed before me this day of January , 2025.	3		
(=010)			
Magistrate or Notary Public for South Carolina) PLAINTIFF (or his attorney)		
My Commission expires)6 -13-7630) /		
ERIC W. HUNT Notary Public, State of South Carolina My Commission Expires OCTOBER 13, 2030	_		

HORRY COUNTY MYRTLE BEACH SUMMARY COURT

CASE INFORMATION SHEET CIVIL LAWSUIT DATE FILED: PLAINTIFF (YOU) NAME/ADDRESS: HOME PHONE: EMPLOYER: WORK PHONE: ATTORNEY: DEFENDANT (THEM) NAME/ADDRESS HOME PHONE: EMPLOYER: 13 SC 29588 FAX# WORK PHONE:

*** RETURN THIS AND ALL ATTACHED FORMS TO THE CIVIL CLERK***

CELL PHONE:

ATTORNEY:

Amount of Suit: \$ (Maximum \$7500)

Myrtle Beach Magistrate 1201 21st Avenue North Myrtle Beach, SC 29577 843-915-5293